➂ LAW OFFICES Сотснетт,

McCarthy

LAW OFFICES
COTCHETT,
PITRE, SIMON &
MCCARTHY

WHEREAS, there are currently at least eleven shareholder derivative actions on behalf of nominal defendant Apple Computer, Inc., ("Apple") pending in the Northern District of California.

LAW OFFICES
COTCHETT,
PITRE, SIMON &
MCCARTHY

Abbreviated Case Name	Case Number	Date Filed
Karant v. Jobs, et al.	C-06-04128-JF	06/30/06
Holbert v. Anderson, et al.	C-06-04454-JF	07/20/06
Pirelli Armstrong Tire Corporation Retiree Medical Benefits Trust v. Anderson, et al.	C-06-04493-JF	07/24/06
Alecci v. Anderson, et al.	C-06-04659-JF	07/31/06
Priebe v. Jobs, et al.,	C-06-04703-JF	08/02/06
Jones v. Anderson, et al.	C-06-05035-JF	08/22/06
Lui v. Jobs, et al.	C-06-05246-JF	08/25/06
Bergman v. Anderson, et al.,	C-06-05374-JF	08/31/06
Ronconi v. Jobs, et al.,	C-06-05389-JF	08/31/06
Gottlieb v. Jobs, et al.,	C-06-05418-RMW	09/01/06
Gulsrud v. Anderson, et al.,	C-06-05427-RS	09/01/06

WHEREAS, the Court has previously determined that all of the Apple derivative actions identified above, with the exception of the *Gottlieb* and *Gulsrud* actions more recently filed, are related pursuant to Local Rule 3-12(a) and all have been assigned to Judge Fogel; and

WHEREAS, the Apple shareholder derivative actions identified above all arise out of the same transactions and occurrences and involve the same or substantially similar issues of law and facts, and, therefore, should be consolidated for all purposes under Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a);

WHEREAS, counsel for plaintiffs, nominal defendant Apple, and certain individual defendants have met and conferred and have agreed to a schedule for filing a consolidated complaint and for briefing any motion directed at the consolidated complaint;

WHEREAS, several plaintiffs have filed motions to be appointed lead plaintiff and the motions are scheduled for hearing on October 20, 2006;

LAW OFFICES
COTCHETT,
PITRE, SIMON &
MCCARTHY

WHEREAS, the parties have met and conferred and believe the interest of judicial economy will be served by continuing the initial case management conference to December 8, 2006 at 10:30 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the Court's calendar permits; and

WHEREAS, the agreed-upon schedule is not for the purpose of delay, promotes judicial efficiency, and will not cause prejudice to any party.

THEREFORE, IT IS STIPULATED AND AGREED by plaintiffs and the identified defendants, through their respective counsel of record, as follows:

I. CONSOLIDATION OF ACTIONS

1. The following actions are hereby consolidated for all purposes, including pretrial proceedings, trial and appeal;

Abbreviated Case Name	Case Number	Date Filed
Karant, et al. v. Jobs, et al.	C-06-04128-JF	06/30/06
Holbert v. Anderson, et al.	C-06-04454-JF	07/20/06
Pirelli Armstrong Tire Corporation Retiree Medical Benefits Trust v. Anderson, et al.	C-06-04493-JF	07/24/06
Alecci v. Anderson, et al.	C-06-04659-JF	07/31/06
Priebe v. Jobs, et al.,	C-06-04703-JF	08/02/06
Jones v. Anderson, et al.	C-06-05035-JF	08/22/06
Lui v. Jobs, et al.	C-06-05246-JF	08/25/06
Bergman v. Anderson, et al.,	C-06-05374-JF	08/31/06
Ronconi v. Jobs, et al.,	C-06-05389-JF	08/31/06
Gottlieb v. Jobs, et al.,	C-06-05418-RMW	09/01/06
Gulsrud v. Anderson, et al.,	C-06-05427-RS	09/01/06

2. The caption of these consolidated actions shall be "In re Apple Computer, Inc. Derivative Litigation" and the files of these consolidated actions shall be maintained in one file under Master File No. C-06-04128-JF. Any other actions now pending or later filed in this Court which arise out of or are related to the same facts as alleged in the above-identified cases shall be consolidated for all purposes, if and when they are brought to the Court's attention.

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

3. Every pleading filed in the consolidated actions, or in any separate action included herein, shall bear the following caption:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

n re APPLE COMPUTER, INC., DERIVATIVE LITIGATION)	Master File No. C-06-04128-J
This Document Relates To:)	
)	

- 4. When a pleading is intended to be applicable to all actions governed by this Order, the words "All Actions" shall appear immediately after the words "This Document Relates To:" in the caption set out above. When a pleading is intended to be applicable to only some, but not all, of the consolidated actions, this Court's docket number for each individual action to which the pleading is intended to be applicable and the abbreviated case name of said action shall appear immediately after the words "The Document Relates To:" in the caption described above (e.g. "No. C-06-04128 JF, *Karant, et al., v. Jobs, et al.*").
- 5. A Master Docket and a Master File hereby are established for the above-consolidated proceedings and for all other related cases filed in or transferred to this Court. Separate dockets shall continue to be maintained for each of the individual actions hereby consolidated, and entries shall be made in the docket for each individual case in accordance with the regular procedures of the clerk of this Court, except as modified by this Order.
- 6. When a pleading is filed and the caption shows that it is applicable to "All Actions," the clerk shall file such pleading in the Master File and note such filing on the Master Docket. No further copies need be filed, and no other docket entries need be made.

25

26

27

LAW OFFICES
COTCHETT,
PITRE, SIMON &
MCCARTHY

- 7. When a pleading is filed and the caption shows that it is to be applicable to fewer than all of the consolidated actions, the clerk will file such pleading in the Master File only but shall docket such filing on the Master Docket of each applicable action.
- 8. When a case which properly belongs as part of *In re Apple Computer, Inc.,*Derivative Litigation is filed in this Court or transferred to this Court from another court and assigned to Judge Fogel, the clerk of this Court shall:
 - (a) Place a copy of this Order in the separate file for such action;
- (b) Mail to the attorneys for the plaintiff(s) in the newly filed or transferred case a copy of this Order and direct that this Order by served upon or mailed to any new defendant(s) or their counsel in the newly filed or transferred case; and
- (c) Make an appropriate entry on the Master Docket. This Court requests the assistance of counsel in calling to the attention of the clerk of this Court the filing or transfer of any case which properly might be consolidated as part of *In re Apple Computer, Inc., Derivative Litigation*.

II. SCHEDULE

- 9. The case management conference is continued until December 8, 2006 at 10:30 a.m.
 - 10. The case management statement shall be due on December 1, 2006.
- 11. Plaintiffs shall, no later than 45 days from the entry of an Order appointing lead plaintiff and lead counsel, file and serve a consolidated complaint which will supersede all existing complaints filed in these actions. Defendants need not respond to any of the pre-existing complaints. Service shall be effected with respect to any named defendant who has already been served with any of the pre-existing complaints in any of the consolidated actions by serving the consolidated complaint on that defendant's counsel.
- 12. Each defendant who has been served shall answer or otherwise respond to the consolidated complaint no later than 45 days from the date of service. In the event that defendants file and serve any motions directed at the consolidated complaint, plaintiffs shall file

and serve their opposition within 30 days after the service of defendants' motions. If defendants 1 2 file and serve a reply to plaintiffs' opposition, they will do so within 15 days after service of the opposition. 3 13. Defendants' counsel may rely upon all agreements made with any Lead Counsel 4 appointed by the Court, and such agreements shall be binding on all derivative plaintiffs. 5 Defendants may satisfy their service obligations by serving the Lead Counsel. 6 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 7 Dated: October 11, 2006 COTCHETT PITRE SIMON & McCARTHY 8 JOSEPH W. COTCHETT 9 BRUCE L. SIMON PHILIP L. GREGORY MARK C. MOLUMPHY 10 11 MARK C. MOLUMPHY 12 San Francisco Airport Office Center 13 840 Malcolm Road, Ste. 200 14 Burlingame, CA 94010 Telephone: (650) 697-6000 Facsimile: (650) 697-0577 15 16 Attorneys for Plaintiff Kelley Bergman Dated: October 11, 2006 SCHIFFRIN & BARROWAY, LLP 17 ERIC L. ZAGER SEAN HANDLER 18 19 SEAN HANDLER 20 280 King of Prussia Road 21 Rador, PA 19087 Telephone: (610) 667-7706 22 Facsimile: (610) 667-7056 23 BRAMSON, PLUTZIK, MAHLER & 24 BIRKHAEUSER LLP KATHRYN A. SCHOFIELD 2125 Oak Grove Road, Ste. 120 25 Walnut Creek, CA 94598 Telephone: (925) 945-0200 26 Facsimile: (925) 945-8792 27 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Nicholas Karant and Alecta 28 Pensionsforsakring, Omsesidigit

❸

1	I, Mark C. Molumphy, am the ECF user	whose ID and password is being used to file this
2	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER.	In compliance with General Order 45, X, B., I
3	hereby attest that Sean Handler has concurred v	vith this filing.
4	RI	ERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER UDMAN & ROBBINS LLP
5 6	BI	RAVIS DOWNS ENNY C. GOOMAN III HOMAS WILHELM
7		TRAVIS DOWNS
9 10	Sa Te	75 West Broadway, Ste. 1900 In Diego, CA 92101 Elephone: (619) 231-1058
11	LI	ERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER
12 13	SI M	JDMAN & ROBBINS LLP HAWN A. WILLIAMS ONIQUE C. WINKLER
14	Sa Te	00 Pine Street, Ste. 2600 In Francisco, CA 94111 Elephone: (415) 288-4545 Icsimile: (415) 288-4534
1516	BA	ARRETT, JOHNSTON & PARSLEY
17	DO TI	EORGE E. BARRETT OUGLAS S. JOHNSTON, JR. MOTHY L. MILES
18 19	Na Te	7 Second Avenue, North ashville, TN 37201-1601 elephone: (615) 244-2202
20		acsimile: (615) 252-3798 attorneys for Plaintiffs Douglas Holbert, Pirelli
21	Aı	emstrong Tire Corporation Retiree Medical enefits Trust, and Jeffrey Alecci
22	I, Mark C. Molumphy, am the ECF user	whose ID and password is being used to file this
2324	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER.	In compliance with General Order 45, X, B., I
25	hereby attest that Travis Downs has concurred	with this filing.
26		
27		
28		

Case 5:06-cv-05389-JF Document 14 Filed 10/19/06 Page 13 of 16

LAW OFFICES
COTCHETT,
PITRE, SIMON &
MCCARTHY

I hereby attest that Sarina Hinson has concurred in this filing.

	1 2	Dated: October 11, 2006 O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP GEORGE A. RILEY LUANN L. SIMMONS DALE EDMONDSON
	3	DALE EDMONDSON
	4	/s/
		DALE EDMONDSON
	5	275 Battery Street, Ste. 2600
	6	San Francisco, CA 94111-3305 Telephone: (415) 984-8700 Facsimile: (415) 984-8701
	7	Facsiniie: (413) 984-8701
	8 9	Attorneys for Nominal Defendant Apple Computer, Inc., and Defendants Fred D. Anderson, James J. Buckley, Robert Calderoni, William V. Campbell,
	10	Timothy D. Cook, Guerrino De Luca, Ian Diery, Millard Drexler, Daniel L. Eilers, Lawrence J.
	11	Ellison, Frederick Forsyth, Albert Gore, Steven P. Jobs, Ronald B. Johnson, Arthur D. Levinson,
	12	Mitchell Mandich, Peter Oppenheimer, Jonathan
	12	Rubenstein, Avadis Tevanian, Jr., and Jerome B. York
	13	I, Mark C. Molumphy, am the ECF user whose ID and password is being used to file this
	14	
	15	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONSOLIDATING CASES FOR ALL
	16	PURPOSE. In compliance with General Order 45, X, B., I hereby attest that Dale Edmondson
		has concurred with this filing.
	17	
	18	
	19	ORDER
	20	PURSUANT TO THE STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.
	21	
	22	Dated:10/13/06 HE HONORABLE JEREMY FOSEL UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
®	28	
LAW OFFICES COTCHETT,	20	
PITRE, SIMON McCarthy	&	Stipulation and [Proposed] Order (1) Consolidating Cases, (2) Setting Schedule Consolidated Complaint, and

Case 5:06-cv-05389-JF Document 14 Filed 10/19/06 Page 16 of 16